

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,720	10/03/2003	Robert C. Lam	01168/DKT00076	6119
43215 7590 11/08/2007 EMCH, SCHAFFER, SCHAUB & PORCELLO, CO., L.PA.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 916		,	STEELE, JENNIFER A	
TOLEDO, OH 43697-0916		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/678,720	LAM, ROBERT C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Jennifer Steele	1794	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	VANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal.	To avoid abandonment of this
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other	
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 (

application in dollation to allowaince, (2) a voluce of Appeal (with appeal ree) in Compilative with 37 CFR 4.131, 0 (3) a Net for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of evaluation and use corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(a).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 October 2007. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of
	the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
	appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AME	NDM	IENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(a) The contract of different defense the contract of the cont

(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

 Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 Mey proposed of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⋈ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

7. Me for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) Me will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 6-9.12.13 and 29.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-28.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______13. \(\subseteq \) Other:

/Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant did not amend the claims and therefore the previous Office Action rejection of 80/2007 is maintained and the amendment will not be entered. Applicant's arguments that the Lam does not disclose carbon fibers in the secondary layer and there is no reason to place the carbon fibers in the secondary layer and further there is no reason to put partially carbonized carbon fibers in the secondary layer. Lam in view of Brassell and Tradewell teach all the the features of the current application and present findings that one of ordinary skill in the art would have employed carbon fibers and partially carbonized fibers in the secondary layer of the friction material and could of pursued the known potential option with a reasonable expectation of success. Applicant argues that Brassell and Tradewell are nonanalogus art. However KSR prices the rationale that known work in one field of endeavor may prompt variations for use in the same field or a different field if the variations would have been predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art.